

Statement of consultation for Statement of Community Involvement (SCI)

Consultation February 2021

Respondent	Comments made	Response and Proposed Actions
T. M. Barker and Son	No comments.	Noted
Mr Mark Roberts Designing Out Crime Officer North Yorkshire Police	A request for Pre-application advice to include consultation with Police DOC for bigger developments (aimed at the applicant).	The section in the SCI outlines the steps that applicants should take in relation consulting with statutory consultees. It is not considered necessary given the general approach of the SCI to prescribe in detail when individual statutory consultees would be consulted.
Natural England (Jacqui Salt)	No specific comments but general advice on their website relating to consulting NE.	Noted. We will continue with established procedures.
Sheriff Hutton Parish	The Parish wish for improvement to the quality of the planning application documents submissions. Some applications have been very poor quality making assessment of the scheme difficult.	We note the concerns and will consult with the IT department to see how we can make plans more legible in terms of their resolution. This is however, a technical software issue. If Parish Councils have any queries about the plans they can contact the Case Officer who will take them through the plans.
George Winn-Darley	Request for additional information regarding call for sites.	Noted. We will provide more information on this matter in due course.
Highways England	Welcoming consultation but no comments.	Noted and welcomed
Mr Clarke	Suggestions: A cycle route from Stamford Bridge to Malton, connecting with one from Stamford Bridge to Howden, could benefit cycling. A major park development, possibly including a caravan park - opposite the Council Offices - with a cycle path connecting Old Malton to the bottom of Castlegate, a riverside/park path the river crossing. Possibly an extra foot/cycle bridge near the location of the old railway line to Norton, giving access from Norton to the park and Council offices. Extra footbridge is difficult with	Noted. The scope of the consultation was concerning the content of the SCI, rather than the development of policy approaches/choices or allocations at this stage in the process.

Respondent	Comments made	Response and Proposed Actions
<p>CPRE North Yorkshire the Countryside Charity</p>	<p>rail and river to cross, see example at Mexborough to Old Denaby.</p> <p>The Charity remarked on the outdated nature of current SCI.</p> <p>As a result of the pandemic the new SCI should reflect moving into more online functions and retaining these/overcoming barriers.</p> <p>The council should also seek to involve hard to reach groups and fulfil statutory obligations under the Equality Act. CPRENY will comment further on the produced draft SCI.</p>	<p>The newly drafted SCI has been factually updated in relation to: document titles, timescales and regulatory procedures, as well as the use of digital technologies, and the different bodies and organisations with any updates to titles.</p> <p>The document has also been simplified to make it more direct in its messages.</p> <p>The Local Planning Authority, as part of the commencement of the review of the Plan will be preparing and Initial Equalities Impact Assessment to continue to identify and improve engagement with harder to reach groups prior to any formal consultation, and ensure that we are providing equal opportunity to engage in the planning process.</p> <p>There is no proposal to re-consult on a draft of the SCI. But we will review the SCI's operation in our forthcoming Authority Monitoring Reports.</p>
<p>Persimmon Homes</p>	<p>The Council should recognise functionality in newly formed online function of consultations following the COVID 19 outbreak as to allow flexibility and continuation of service. These new methods should remain in place after the national restrictions end.</p> <p>The expectations for pre-application consultation detailed should also provide further and more explicit guidance on the approach that the Council would deem to be appropriate for major applications in the current environment. It should make clear what the expectations are for pre-application consultation while temporary</p>	<p>Officers will continue to take into account newly utilised digital methods for consultations as a result of the pandemic and beyond. All consultation methods will be reviewed as the situation progresses to ensure the most effective/safe methods are in operation. It is expected that, when Covid -19 measures are no longer in operation, both newer forms of engagement will sit alongside the statutorily required consultation measures (such as placing documents on deposit).</p> <p>The SCI has a section on consultation before making an application and sets out in proportionate detail how consultation on major applications can be conducted, and what the Local Planning Authority would expect both in light of</p>

Respondent	Comments made	Response and Proposed Actions
	restrictions relating to COVID-19 are in place, as well as the requirements that will apply once there are no longer any restrictions in place.	Covid, and how the local community would best like to be engaged (by asking the Parish Council). Also, that such engagement should inform the development of the application- rather than be undertaken just prior to the submission of the application.
York Consortium Drainage Board	No comments but wish to remain involved in development schemes.	Noted and welcomed
English Heritage	No comments to make on this occasion	Noted
North Yorkshire County Council Planning	<p>No specific comments but recognise the current SCI is outdated. The updated revision should reflect current Regulations and best practice.</p> <p>Welcome the opportunity to review the document should this be available.</p> <p>We welcome the opportunity to work with the Council to help develop the Local Plan though duty to cooperate discussions and engage and comment at formal stages of the Plan as it progresses.</p>	<p>Noted and welcomed. We have updated the document to reflect current regulations and best practice.</p> <p>It is not proposed for the document to be re-consulted on, but we will review the SCI's operation in our forthcoming Authority Monitoring Reports.</p>
Harome Council	The Parish are not able to make comment within the deadline as limited opportunity to circulate due to IT constraints. We can provide a response on the 3 March 2021	<p>Officers appreciate that the consultation period is limited and getting materials to those without email access is a challenge. However this consultation is for the SCI alone and there will be further opportunity to comment on the development of the local plan later this year.</p> <p>We responded directly back to the Parish to inform them that we would report to Members (verbally in summary) Harome Parish Council's response when it is available, at the committee Meeting.</p>

Respondent	Comments made	Response and Proposed Actions
Yorkshire Wildlife Trust	No comments on the SCI but updated contact provided and welcome further Planning policy consultations	Noted and welcomed.
North Yorkshire Local Access Forum	Want to be consulted on all matters relating to 'access'. It's hoped that access and routes for non-motorised users will feature much more prominently in all local and regional plans and planning applications.	There are no plans to change the procedural consultation processes concerning planning applications, and as a Statutory Consultee in relation to PROWs you would be notified. As the plan is developed we will consult with the LAF in relation to strategic approaches concerning accessibility/Green Infrastructure and inviting comments on specific sites in due course.
Homes England	No comments but look forward to continuing to engage.	Noted
Fitzwilliam Estate Malton	No specific comments in relation to the SCI contents, but welcome inclusion of local estates to list of groups involved in plan preparation	Noted- the landed estates feature on the list of general consultees.
Amotherby Parish Council	Very happy with the plans in place	Noted. There are no proposals to change consultation procedures in relation to the planning applications. The plan making process seeks greater emphasis on digital engagement in order to have meetings, rather than face to face meetings.

A number of responses received have not been included in the table because they:

Provided factual updates to contact details;

Seeking to be removed from our contact list; and

Expressed an interest in submitting sites.

These matters do not have a bearing on the content and approach of the Statement of Community Involvement, although we appreciate the responses as it helps to ensure our contact lists are up to date.